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Introduction

The Dane County Board of Supervisors created the Dane County Healthy Farms, Healthy Lakes Task Force in 2017 with a charge to make recommendations for phosphorous reduction in surface waters.

The task force brought together a group of stakeholders and experts from a variety of backgrounds including agriculture, community groups, and state and local agencies to learn more from experts and each other and to build on what had previously been done to improve water quality.

The following goals and recommendations were developed by the task force during 2018. Implementation of the goals and recommendations will occur over time and in tandem with other existing and future plans and efforts. While it is anticipated that Dane County may play a role in implementation of many of the recommendations, there are any number of community partners and other political entities that are anticipated to play lead or supporting roles.

Opportunities for implementation and funding of task force goals and recommendations are particularly strong within the Yahara Watershed, which is the focus of considerable voluntary and regulatory plans, policies, programs and initiatives. Dane County has historically played a leadership role in supporting clean water initiatives and has directed considerable resources in support of efforts to reduce nutrient and sediment loads. Yahara WINS, led by Madison Metropolitan Sewerage District, has brought together twenty-four municipal entities within the Yahara Watershed that are working together and making investments to reduce phosphorus and sediment loads to meet regulatory obligations. Clean Lakes Alliance has brought together a diverse group of stakeholders and has made considerable investments to reduce phosphorus loads to the Madison Lakes. The Yahara Pride Farm Group is working with farmers in the watershed to meet multiple goals, including reducing soil and phosphorus loss from farm fields. Multiple other groups are working to improve water quality within the Yahara Watershed.

The task force recognizes that both urban and rural sources contribute to the phosphorus load delivered to our lakes. While this task force has focused on the rural component, we invite rural and urban communities to work together to identify additional phosphorus reduction or management approaches that may have future potential merit.
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1. Increase support and encourage conservation efforts by farmer-led groups and watershed organizations to improve nutrient management and reduce nonpoint source runoff from farms.

Recommendations

A. Engage with farmer-led groups and watershed organizations to understand how we might further support their efforts. For example:
   i. Equipment needs
   ii. Training/education needs
   iii. Support needs (e.g. coordinator position, outreach, etc.)
   iv. Regulatory obstacles (e.g. recent WDNR streamlined General Permit for conservation efforts to remove regulatory barriers that have inhibited practices such as waterways and buffers)
   v. Financial obstacles
   vi. Funding support through innovative or pilot programs such as “pay for performance”

B. Engage with agronomists and agriculture industry partners to understand how we might support the efforts of farmer-led groups and work together to improve nutrient management and reduce nonpoint runoff from farms.

C. Develop collaborations between government, community partners and farmers to promote water quality and soil health through demonstrations that:
   i. Maintain continuous living cover
   ii. Minimize soil disturbance
   iii. Maximize soil biodiversity
   iv. Improve nutrient management and reduce nonpoint runoff risks

D. Develop and promote voluntary local standards to address locally identified resource concerns and priorities (e.g. manure and fertilizer handling and management).
2. Enforce reasonable management regulations at the local level by adopting state agricultural performance standards into county ordinance as a complement to the state agricultural prohibitions already in county ordinance.

Recommendations

A. Update Chapter 2 and Chapter 14, Dane County Ordinance, to include the state’s agricultural performance standards for local implementation and enforcement:
   i. Sheet and rill erosion
   ii. Wind erosion
   iii. Tillage setback
   iv. Phosphorus Index
   v. Manure storage facilities
   vi. Process wastewater handling
   vii. Clean water diversion
   viii. Nutrient management

B. Update the Dane County Land & Water Resources Management Plan to align implementation procedures with current initiatives and ordinance requirements.
3. Improve the use of manure nutrients and prevent nutrient losses through improved manure management.

Recommendations

A. Update and expand Chapter 14, Dane County Ordinance, manure storage permitting language, to include:
   i. Stay current with technical standard requirements for manure storage
   ii. Expand definitions to include new and emerging manure management techniques (i.e. composting, pelleting, digesters)
   iii. Expand the permitting requirements to address process wastewater (i.e. milkhouse waste, feed leachate)
   iv. Improve manure storage application form

B. Create and administer a manure storage “certificate of use” program through updates to Chapter 14, Dane County Ordinance. The certificate would apply to all manure storages in the county to evaluate safety and maintenance, track storage use and volumes, and improve implementation of nutrient management planning.

C. Continue to pursue innovative manure processing, management and treatment options including but not limited to:
   i. Composting
   ii. Digesters
   iii. Community manure storage and/or processing into new products
   iv. Exportation and/or exchange of manure
   v. Low disturbance manure injection
   vi. Nutrient concentration systems

D. Update and expand Chapter 14, Dane County Ordinance, winter spreading permit program, to include:
   i. Align permit conditions with the new winter spreading requirements in the current 590 nutrient management technical standard
   ii. Expand winter spreading permit requirement to all manure types (i.e. solid, liquid)
   iii. Tie winter spreading requirements to nutrient management planning
4. Improve soil and water quality through the expansion, adoption and implementation of nutrient management plans along with the development and maintenance of a tracking database.

Recommendations

A. Develop a systematic approach for achieving nutrient management plan implementation on 100% of all eligible agricultural land within the county.

B. Develop and maintain a robust and comprehensive county-wide nutrient management plan database including:
   i. The extent of nutrient management plan coverage
   ii. Planned rotational average phosphorus index levels
   iii. Soil test phosphorus levels
   iv. Ability to conduct periodic data evaluations and reporting of aggregated trends
   v. Ability to integrate with other county conservation data management systems
   vi. Report aggregate phosphorus balance trends (by watershed / sub-watershed / TMDL stream reach)
   vii. Ability to integrate electronic nutrient management plans

C. Focus on field Phosphorus Index level, determined according to state nutrient management standards, as an indicator of farm landscape propensity for phosphorus runoff and on soil test phosphorus as an indicator of long-term resilience. Work to record and monitor these and other key indicators across the Yahara watershed, and to identify improvements that may be needed to achieve water quality goals (including TMDL and Yahara CLEAN goals). Focus community attention, resources and support, including possible “pay for performance” initiatives, to achieve needed improvements in key indicators.
5. Continue to support, implement and evaluate the Yahara CLEAN Strategic Action Plan and other Yahara watershed water quality efforts.

Recommendations

A. Reconvene the Yahara CLEAN partnership coalition for the purpose of evaluating, updating and adopting the Yahara CLEAN Strategic Action Plan for Phosphorus Reduction (2012). Updates shall account for progress from implemented actions, new information and assumptions used in estimating needed phosphorus reductions, revised cost estimates, staffing needs, implementation roles, and a timeline necessary for full implementation of all action items. The Yahara CLEAN Strategic Action Plan for Phosphorus Reduction addresses fourteen specific actions for a 50% reduction in the average annual phosphorus load from direct drainage sources in the Yahara chain of lakes.

B. Collaborate using an adaptive management planning framework as a way to implement both the Yahara CLEAN Strategic Action Plan and to work towards permit compliance for regulated entities such as the Madison Metropolitan Sewerage District. Nutrient and sediment loading to the Yahara lakes should be reviewed and action plans adapted as needed to meet the twin goals of healthy farms and healthy lakes, with a maximum period between reviews of 10 years. This planning should be informed by the aggregated data provided by the nutrient management database (goal 4), priorities identified by watershed modeling (goal 8) and relevant water quality data.

C. Continue to work with full implementation of the Yahara WINS adaptive management project with the Madison Metropolitan Sewerage District and other partners.

D. Document progress towards meeting Rock River TMDL (WDNR is already tackling this) and other community goals to protect water quality:
   i. Progress towards Rock River TMDL targets and compliance with Agricultural Performance Standards (NR151 ATCP 50)
   ii. Progress towards Yahara CLEAN goals (separated out by recommended actions and practices)
   iii. Progress towards Yahara WINS targeted reductions
6. Develop and implement programs that aid in the preservation of agricultural land.

Recommendations

A. Develop a county wide agricultural conservation easement program to:
   i. Ensure agricultural viability
   ii. Provide financial support that will encourage conservation practices not easily covered by existing cost-share programs
   iii. Maintain and monitor compliance with state performance standards or other conservation goals
B. Continue support for the Dane County Farmland Preservation Program, which allows farmers and landowners to be eligible for state tax credits under the Working Lands Initiative by maintaining land in agricultural uses.
C. Encourage the development of Agricultural Enterprise Areas (AEAs) in areas of the county not covered by County or local zoning. AEAs are community led efforts to establish designated areas for continued long-term agricultural production and investment in the agricultural community. Landowners in AEAs are eligible to enter into voluntary farmland preservation agreements with Wisconsin Department of Agriculture Trade and Consumer Protection and claim tax credits.
D. Encourage the preservation of agricultural land within urban areas.
7. Expand implementation of conservation practices through streamlined cost-share program administration, new program development and improved promotion of opportunities.

Recommendations

A. To assist with public awareness and validation, stakeholders should partner with the University of Wisconsin and other scientific experts to model nonpoint pollution reductions from conservation practices.

B. Evaluate and assess current cost share programs for their limitations in funding conservation practices and identify obstacles with program and individual contract administration that are burdensome to both landowners and administering staff.

C. Develop a Dane County agricultural cost-share guide to outline funding opportunities, procedures and policies for staff, program participants, policy makers and the public. Include information regarding:
   i. Funding opportunities
   ii. Application and planning procedures
   iii. Conditions and requirements for funding
   iv. Administrative procedures

D. Develop funding opportunities to assist landowners and producers with improvements to soil health such as cover crops, nutrient management plans, no-till planting, and other cropland conservation systems.

E. Consider an Agricultural Water Quality Grant program that provides funding opportunities for organizations or individuals for the implementation of conservation practices and systems beyond current cost-share and other existing funding opportunities.

F. Work with partners to support the implementation of a pay for performance pilot.

G. Explore the feasibility of new incentive programs such as incentives for submittal of nutrient management plans or other data.

H. Consider new revenue sources to cover proposed and existing programs.
8. Develop large scale watershed analyses to identify and prioritize high risk areas for phosphorus runoff and water quality degradation.

Recommendations

A. Develop a high level (macro scale) analysis for the entire county that quantifies the amount of phosphorus loading to nearby surface waters. Use the analysis to identify priority watersheds.

B. Develop a mass balance of pounds of phosphorus and types of phosphorus imported and exported annually by watershed and develop a long-range approach to address any imbalance.

C. Update the Yahara River Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) analysis to gauge progress. The SWAT model was initially conducted by Montgomery & Associates in 2010 as part of Yahara CLEAN and updated in 2014 for Badfish Creek.
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